

WO

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

**ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL**Fabian GuzmanCase Number: CR-08-598-03-PHX-JAT

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. The hearing is a reconsideration of the prior order of detention issued by Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen in the District of arrest, which was affirmed by Magistrate Judge Michelle H. Burns on July 14, 2008. The court granted the rehearing based on the allegation of substantial change in circumstances. I conclude that the following facts are established:

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

- ☐ by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.
- ☒ by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

**PART I -- FINDINGS OF FACT**

- ☒ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed
- ☒ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more available if convicted.
  - ☐ an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).
  - ☐ an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed.
  - ☐ an offense involving a minor victim prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_<sup>1</sup>
- ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

**Alternative Findings**

- ☒ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
- ☐ (2) No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
- ☐ (3) There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
- ☐ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION**

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

- ☐ (1) I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:

---



---



---



---



---



---

<sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

- ☒ (2) I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
- ☒ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
- ☒ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
- ☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.
- ☐ There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
- ☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
- ☐ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of \_\_\_\_\_ incarceration and a maximum of \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☒ The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report:
- \_\_\_\_\_
- \_\_\_\_\_

- ☒ In addition:  
Defendant has no financial ties to this country other than varied and inconsistent stretches of employment; he is a legal resident who, if convicted would likely be deported after serving any sentence imposed; he has no family (direct) ties in the U.S. as his immediate family absconded when they learned of the charges and remain fugitives, his family purportedly is in South America and defendant has a recent history of travel in South America; defendant is exposed to a long term of imprisonment and finally, the alleged loss from the cash back scheme exceeds seven million dollars.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The Order of Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen is AFFIRMED.

### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Date: 12/4/08



EDWARD C. VOSS  
 United States Magistrate Judge